



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

RECENT IMPORTANT DECISIONS.

BILLS AND NOTES—ALTERATION OF INSTRUMENT.—Plaintiff became the bona fide holder of defendant's promissory note "to the order of Lew Cochran or bearer;" there was no endorsement by the payee, who knew nothing of the note; and the words "or bearer" had been added by a pretended agent of Cochran and without knowledge of maker. *Held*, Not a material alteration, because § 582, REV. STAT. 1911 allowing any "assignee" to sue in his own name is construed to place a transferee without indorsement upon the same footing as an indorsee. *Douglass v. Lockhart*. (Texas 1914), 168 S. W. 382.

An alteration is material if it changes the maker's contract. *Humphreys v. Crane*, 5 Cal. 173. A modification of the manner of negotiation is such a change. *McCauley v. Gordon*, 64 Ga. 221. There is a conflict as to whether the erasing of the words "to order," and inserting "to bearer" constitutes a material alteration: the great weight of authority is in the affirmative. *Needles v. Shaffer*, 60 Iowa 65; *Crosswell v. Labree*, 81 Me. 44, 16 Atl. 331; *McDaniel v. Whitsett*, 96 Tenn. 10, 33 S. W. 567; *Walton v. Campbell*, 35 Neb. 173, 16 L. R. A. 468; *Marshall v. Wilhite*, 4 Oh. C. C. R. 203, 2 O. C. D. 500; *Belknap v. Bank*, 100 Mass. 376. Contra: *Flint v. Craig*, 59 Barb. (N. Y.) 319; *Weaver v. Bromley*, 65 Mich. 212, 31 N. W. 839. The instant case may be sustained because of § 582, REV. STAT. 1911, and seems to be backed in the construction given that statute by *Proudy v. Musquiz*, 94 Tex. 87, and *Bank v. Kenney*, 98 Tex. 293. But the court has adopted a rule contrary to general understanding and has eliminated a long existing distinction between the negotiable words "order" and "bearer," without a clear statutory provision to that effect. The distinction is important in a case like the present one, where the payee denies all knowledge of the note. A note payable to bearer is transferred by delivery. *Johnson v. Mitchell*, 50 Tex. 212, 32 Am. Rep. 602; *Holcomb v. Black*, 112 Mass. 450. If payable to order, by indorsement. 7 Cyc. 818. The statute dispenses with indorsement, but perhaps not with proof of the assignment. Assignment means more than indorsement or delivery; it includes an intent by the assignor, and an acceptance by the other party. *Bank v. Pindall*, 2 Rand. (Va.) 476. Here seems to be no "assignment," in the words of the statute, for the payee never knew of the note.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—ILLINOIS WOMAN SUFFRAGE ACT.—The Illinois Constitution provided that every male over 21 years of age having resided a certain time in the state and election district "next preceding any election therein" with certain other qualifications "shall be entitled to vote at such election." The Legislature passed an amendment to the Suffrage Act giving women the right to vote in certain cases. In deciding the constitutionality of this statute a divided court *held*, that the words "any election" do not embrace every election at which any political office is to be filled, but only elections for such offices as are created by and provided for in the constitu-